

Appl. No. 09/893,960
Amdt. Dated June 9, 2005
Reply to Office action of March 30, 2005
Attorney Docket No. P13800-US2
EUS/J/P/05-1155

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims replaces all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Amended) A method for determining a delay constrained least cost path in a multi-path communication system, the method comprising the steps of:

finding a first path with a minimum cost and first path delay;

finding a second path with minimum delay and second path cost;

determining the delay constrained least cost path based, in part, on the first path and second path by using a Lagrange relaxation variable, comprising:

calculating a Lagrange relaxation variable; and,

determining the delay constrained least cost path based on the Lagrange relaxation variable;

wherein the step of calculating a Lagrange relaxation variable comprises:

calculating a first sum equal to the cost of the first path less the cost of the second path;

calculating a second sum equal to the delay of the first path less the delay of the second; and,

dividing the first sum by the second sum.

2. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of determining the delay constrained least cost path based, in part, on the first path and second path, comprises:

determining that if the first path delay is less than a maximum allowable delay, then the first path is the delay constrained least cost path.

3. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of determining the delay constrained least cost path based, in part, on the first path and second path, comprises:

Appl. No. 09/893,860
Amdt. Dated June 9, 2005
Reply to Office action of March 30, 2005
Attorney Docket No. P13600-US2
EUS/J/P/05-1155

determining that if the second path delay is greater than the maximum allowable delay then there is no delay constrained least cost path.

4-6. (Cancelled).

7. (Amended) The method of claim [[5]] 1, wherein the step of determining the delay constrained least cost path based on the Lagrange relaxation variable, comprises:

determining a third path that minimizes a first modified cost function;
calculating a second modified cost function using the first path;
determining that the delay constrained least cost path is the second path if the second modified cost function equals the first modified cost function.

8. (Amended) The method of claim [[5]] 1, wherein the step of determining the delay constrained least cost path based on the Lagrange relaxation variable, comprises:

determining a third path that minimizes a first modified cost function;
calculating a second modified cost function using the first path;
determining that the first modified cost function does not equal the second modified cost function;
determining whether the delay of the third path is greater than the maximum allowable delay, and if yes, replacing the first path with the third path to create a new first path, and if not, replacing the second path with the third path, to create a new second path, and
determining the delay constrained least cost path based on the new first path or new second path.

9. (Amended) Methed The method of claim 8, wherein the step of determining the delay constrained least cost path based on the new first path or new second path, comprises:

Appl. No. 09/883,980
Amdt. Dated June 9, 2005
Reply to Office action of March 30, 2005
Attorney Docket No. P13600-US2
EUS/J/P/05-1155

repeating the steps of:

calculating a new Lagrange relaxation variable based on the new first path or based on the new second path, wherein the new first path takes the place of the first path, or the new second path takes the place of the second path;

determining a new third path that minimizes a new first modified cost function, based on the new Lagrange relaxation variable;

calculating a new second modified cost function using the old first path, or new first path and the new Lagrange relaxation variable; and

determining whether the new second modified cost function equals the new first modified cost function, and if yes, then the delay constrained least cost path equals either the new second path or second path used in calculating the new second modified cost function, and if not, determining whether the delay of the new third path is greater than or equal to the maximum allowable delay, and if yes, replacing the new first path or first path, with the new third path, and if not, replacing the new second path or second path, with the new third path, until the delay constrained least cost path is determined.

10. (Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of finding a first path with minimum cost, comprises:

using a Dijkstra Algorithm.

11. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of finding a second path with minimum delay, comprises:

using a Dijkstra Algorithm.

12. (Amended) The method according to claim [[5]] 7, wherein the step of determining a third path that minimizes a first modified cost function, comprises:

using a Dijkstra Algorithm.

Appl. No. 09/893,980
Amdt. Dated June 9, 2005
Reply to Office action of March 30, 2005
Attorney Docket No. P13600-US2
EUS/J/P/05-1155

13. (Amended) [[A]] The method of claim 7, wherein the step of determining a third path that minimizes a first modified cost function, comprises:

defining the modified cost function defined as the cost of the third path plus the product of the Lagrange relaxation variable and the delay of the third path.

14. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of determining the delay constrained least cost path based, in part, on the first path and second path, comprises:

determining that if the cost of the second path is within a certain percentage of the cost of the first path, the second path is the delay constrained least cost path.

15. (Currently Amended) A method for determining a delay constrained least cost path, in a multi-path communication system, the method comprising the steps of:

finding a first path with minimum cost and a first path delay; finding a second path with minimum delay and a second path delay cost;

generating a series of Lagrange relaxation variables, each Lagrange relaxation variable having an associated third path, each third path having a delay and cost; and

determining the delay constrained least cost path, based on the first path, second path, Lagrange relaxation variable, and third path.

16. (Original) The method of claim 15, wherein the step of determining the delay constrained least cost path, based on the first path, second path, Lagrange relaxation variable, and third path, comprises:

selecting a first group of associated third paths, the delays of which are greater than or equal to a maximum allowable delay;

selecting a second group of associated third paths, the delays of which are less than a maximum allowable delay;

determining a primary Lagrange relaxation variable, from the first group of associated third paths, the primary Lagrange relaxation variable being the maximum

Appl. No. 09/893,960
Amdt. Dated June 9, 2005
Reply to Office action of March 30, 2005
Attorney Docket No. P13600-US2
EUS/J/P/05-1155

associated Lagrange relaxation variable, the primary Lagrange relaxation variable having an associated primary third path;

determining a secondary Lagrange relaxation variable, from the second group of associated third paths, the secondary Lagrange relaxation variable being the minimum associated Lagrange relaxation variable, the secondary Lagrange relaxation variable having an associated secondary third path; and

selecting the primary third path to be a first path, and selecting the secondary third path to be a second path.

17. (Original) A method for determining a least cost path satisfying multiple constraints in a multi-path communication system, the method comprising the steps of:

finding multiple paths, one for each of multiple constraints, each of the multiple paths having a different minimum constraint, a first multiple path being a minimal cost path;

calculating a first set of multiple Lagrange relaxation variables, one for each of the multiple constraints;

determining a minimizing path that minimizes a first multi-term modified cost function;

calculating a second multi-term modified cost function using the first multiple path; and

determining the least cost path satisfying multiple constraints.

18. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein the step of determining the least cost path satisfying multiple constraints comprises:

determining that the first multi-term modified cost function equals the second multi-term modified cost function; and

determining which of the multiple paths minimizes the maximal exceeding of the constraints, that path being the path satisfying multiple constraints.

Appl. No. 09/893,960
Amdt. Dated June 9, 2005
Reply to Office action of March 30, 2005
Attorney Docket No. P13600-US2
EUS/J/P/05-1155

19. (Original) The method of claim 18, wherein the step of determining which of the multiple paths that minimizes the maximal exceeding of the constraints comprises:

comparing the constraints of the multiple paths, and determining which path has a lowest constraint value for a maximal constraint, that path being the least cost path satisfying multiple constraints.

20. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein the step of determining the least cost path satisfying multiple constraints, comprises:

determining that the first multi-term modified cost function does not equal the second multi-term modified cost function;

calculating multiple sets of multiple Lagrange relaxation variables, each set corresponding to a particular path of multiple paths; and

determining which of the multiple sets of multiple Lagrange relaxation variables is a maximum set of Lagrange relaxation variables, and the particular path of the multiple paths associated with that maximum set of multiple Lagrange relaxation variables, is the least cost path satisfying multiple constraints.

* * *